Case: 3:16-cv-00198-MPM Doc #: 94 Filed: 11/17/17 1 of 5 PageID #: 482

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN DIVISION

38 FILMS, LLC AND DR. CHARLES E. SMITH, JR.

PLAINTIFFS

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:16-cv-198-MPM-RP

WENDY YAMANO; MT. PHILO FILMS, LLC; ESPN, INC.; LASPATA DECARO STUDIO CORP. JOHN DOES 1-3; AND XYZ CORPORATIONS 1-3

DEFENDANTS

MOTION TO AMEND FINAL PRETRIAL ORDER TO ADD STEVE MURPHY AS A WITNESS TO BE CALLED VIA DEPOSITION

COMES NOW, Plaintiff 38 Films LLC, and files this Motion to Amend Final Pretrial Order to Add Steve Murphy as a Witness to be Called Via Deposition. In support of its Motion, 38 Films states as follows:

- 1. The parties jointly submitted the Final Pretrial Order to the Court on November 9, 2017, and it was entered earlier this week, on November 13, 2017.
- 2. Prior to the joint submission of the Final Pretrial Order, Plaintiff engaged in meaningful trial preparation in an effort to streamline the issues for trial and avoid cluttering the Pretrial Order with unnecessary witnesses or evidence. However, Plaintiff did not engage in **final** pretrial preparation as the trial was still nearly one month away.
- 3. The parties in this case have stipulated that the Defendants "did not maintain native versions of the film [It's Time] that can be searched using forensic methodology."
- 4. This stipulation is relevant to the issue of spoliation. 38 Films intends to request a spoliation inference due to Defendants' failure to preserve a native version of the film, because Defendants were aware before their film ever aired that 38 Films was likely to pursue litigation

4845-3678-0117 v1 2931307-000001 11/17/2017 Case: 3:16-cv-00198-MPM Doc #: 94 Filed: 11/17/17 2 of 5 PageID #: 483

against them due to their breach of an oral contract with 38 Films. In particular, they were aware

that (a) they were prohibited from using any material in their film that 38 Films had paid to

digitize and (b) that if they used that material 38 Films would sue them.

5. In discovery (before they became aware that the Defendants had flagrantly

breached their preservation obligations), 38 Films requested "all source material ('the source

material') used in the production of *It's Time*, in its original format as obtained and/or used by

You. The source material should be complete with original and unaltered metadata. The source

material includes, but is not limited to, audio recordings, video recordings, audio/video

recordings, graphics, logs, and any other documents used during the production. The source

material should be produced in its full-length state as it was obtained by You and not as it was

after being converted, edited, transcoded, or otherwise altered by You."

6. Defendants' counsel undertook a diligent search and originally reported that

responsive materials had been located in the custody of an individual named Steve Murphy.

7. Mr. Murphy owns an editing studio that Defendants used to edit It's Time, and

several of the Defendants have a long-standing relationship with Mr. Murphy, having used his

studio on numerous projects.

8. When Mr. Murphy was deposed, he testified that he had no idea whether the

materials that he possessed were actually made a part of It's Time, and Defendants later

confirmed that they had failed to preserve the evidence necessary to answer that inquiry.

9. As previously stated, Plaintiff worked diligently to simplify the case and eradicate

as many outstanding issues as possible. In that spirit, Plaintiff originally believed it did not need

to call Steve Murphy as a witness or use his deposition transcript.

2

Case: 3:16-cv-00198-MPM Doc #: 94 Filed: 11/17/17 3 of 5 PageID #: 484

10. However, Plaintiff has determined that Mr. Murphy does provide potentially

important information in that he helps to establish that materials that Plaintiff sent to Wendy

Yamano were uploaded into his editing system subsequently used by Defendants to make It's

Time.

11. Mr. Murphy's deposition is 15 pages. Plaintiff has designated a substantially

shorter portion of the deposition to be used at trial. See Exhibit A.

12. 38 Films' designation of Mr. Murphy is untimely. It is untimely, however,

because Plaintiff was attempting to streamline the case and remove unnecessary witnesses. Even

now, it is requesting to designate Mr. Murphy as a witness only in the abundance of caution.

13. Defendants oppose Plaintiff's motion despite having been granted similar leeway

by this Court. In particular, Defendants were allowed to untimely disclose and designate Brad

Gaines as a witness. They were permitted to designate Brad Gaines despite the fact that Mr.

Gaines was never properly disclosed during discovery and was not deposed during the discovery

period. Prior to Defendants' untimely designation, Plaintiff had no idea that Brad Gaines would

be a trial witness, or even had discoverable knowledge regarding the case.

14. In contrast, Defendants have always been aware that Mr. Murphy has information

relevant to this case - in fact that they are the ones that identified him as a person with

knowledge. In addition, unlike Brad Gaines, Mr. Murphy was actually deposed during the

discovery period.

15. Defendants will not be prejudiced by Mr. Murphy's simple recitation of facts, to

which he testified during discovery. Nor will Mr. Murphy's testimony unduly prolong the trial.

¹ Mr. Gaines has since been deposed.

3

Case: 3:16-cv-00198-MPM Doc #: 94 Filed: 11/17/17 4 of 5 PageID #: 485

Reading the designated lines of the transcript or playing the designated lines of the video should

take no longer than thirty minutes.

16. 38 Films has not delayed in bringing this issue to the Court's attention. 38 Films

requested that Defendants agree to the designation on Tuesday and hen Plaintiff learned that

Defendants intended to object to the addition of Murphy, it filed this Motion as soon as it could.

17. Plaintiff submits that the recitation herein show good cause. Plaintiff did not

initially designate Murphy because they were trying to simplify this trial. Further, the Court has

allowed Defendants leeway in adding Gaines, and it should allow Plaintiff similar leeway in

adding Murphy.

This the 17th day of November, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

38 FILMS, LLC

By Its Attorneys,

BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN,

CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

BY: D. Sterling Kidd

> D. Sterling Kidd (MS Bar #103670)

OF COUNSEL:

Bradley W. Smith (MS Bar #9834) <u>bsmith@bakerdonelson.com</u>

D. Sterling Kidd (MS Bar #103670) skidd@bakerdonelson.com

BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN

CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

One Eastover Center

100 Vision Drive, Suite 400

Jackson, Mississippi 39211

Telephone: (601) 351-8952

Facsimile: (601) 974-8952

4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system which sent notification of such filing to the following:

M. Patrick McDowell (MSB No. 9746)
Norman E. Bailey, Jr. (MSB No. 10053)
Karen E. Howell (MSB No. 102243)
BRUNINI, GRANTHAM, GROWER & HEWES, PLLC
Post Office Drawer 119
Jackson, Mississippi 39201
pmcdowell@brunini.com
bbailey@brunini.com
khowell@brunini.com

James E. Curry
Michael E. Gerst
Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton, LLP
1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1600
Los Angeles, California 90067
jcurry@sheppardmullin.com
mgerst@sheppardmullin.com

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS

This the 17th day of November, 2017.

<u>D. Sterling Kidd</u> D. STERLING KIDD